## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

BRIAN HARRIS : CIVIL ACTION

•

v.

SAINT JOSEPH'S UNIVERSITY, et al. : NO. 13-3937

MICHAEL KUNZ, Clerk

## ORDER

AND NOW, this 12<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2014, upon consideration of the Motions to Dismiss of Defendants, Saint Joseph's University and Joseph Kalin ("University Defendants") (ECF Document 23) and Defendant Jane Doe (Document 24), and plaintiff's opposition thereto, for the reasons explained in the accompanying Memorandum, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

- Defendants' Motions to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint (Docs. 23 &
   are GRANTED in part and DENIED in part;
- 2. Defendants' motions (Docs. 23 & 24) are **GRANTED** with respect to Plaintiff's claims of breach of contract (Count I), violation of Title IX (Count II), negligence (Count III), making public statements placing plaintiff in a false light (Count VI), and intentional infliction of emotional distress (Count VII), and those claims are **DISMISSED** without prejudice to filing a Second Amended Complaint, if Plaintiff can do so under the confines of Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(b), within twenty (20) days of the filing of this Memorandum and Order. Otherwise, these claims are dismissed with prejudice;
  - 3. Defendants' motions (Docs. 23 & 24) are otherwise **DENIED**;
  - 4. Plaintiff may continue to pursue his claims of a violation of the UTPCPL

(Count IV), defamation (Count V), and intentional interference with contractual relations (Count VIII).

BY THE COURT:

L. FELIPE RESTREPO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

ENTERED

MAY 1 3 2014

CLERKOF COURT